## EastBluff \#4 Town Hall: Special Assessment Review and Recap

May 27, 2021

## Executive Summary

## I. Project Costs:

> Reserve Study was completed, and projects have been costed and phased
> Project costs have not changed since the February 22, 2021 Town Hall presentation
II. Deferred maintenance remains critical and must be addressed
III. Long Term Plan: More urgent projects will take an estimated 5 years and returning EB4 to financial stability will take up to 10 years
IV. Financial Shortfall: A significant financial shortfall exists and we must now fund it
V. Funding Options: Board had reviewed three options before arriving at its recommendation
$>$ Special Assessment Program option is the least expensive and the "Do Nothing" Scenario is the most expensive and uncertain
VI. Next steps:
$>$ Owners Vote on the Board recommended Special Assessment Program
$>$ If Owners approve Board's recommendation for a Special Assessment, Owners will be polled to determine payment preferences
$>$ Based on owner payment preferences, project phasing may be adjusted

## How Did We Get Here? (See June 2020 Board Presentation for Details)

* Aging Infrastructure: EB4 is a 35 year old community with aging infrastructure
* Over the Past Decade, Regular Assessments Have Not Kept Pace with Expenditures
* Unexpected Project Costs \& Repairs Since Fiscal 2018 Have Depleted Reserves
* Previous Financial, Operating and Project Management practices have contributed to our funding shortfalls

Reserve Study and Project Plan

## Updated Reserve Study Confirms Need to Address Infrastructure in Next 5 Years

| Projects | 2022 Current Estimated Replacement Cost | 2019 Current Estimated Replacement Cost | Projects w/ Replacement Costs of $\$ 2.7$ million or $28 \%$ of Total Cost Have Remaining Useful Lives of 1 Year or Less <br> Projects w/ Replacement Costs of $\$ 5.5$ million or $58 \%$ of Total Cost Have Remaining Useful Lives of 5 Years or Less |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asphalt \& Concrete | \$707,512 | \$345,750 |  |
| Buildings: Balconies, Landings, Doors, Weep Screeds,Pony Walls, Stucco, etc | 4,532,627 | 5,055,452 |  |
| Electrical/Lights | 187,878 | 172,878 |  |
| Fences, Gates, Rails, Walls | 423,586 | 429,820 |  |
| Irrigation \& Landscape | 902,000 | 1,217,4,50 |  |
| Paint \& Waterproofing | 1,199,267 | 814,447 |  |
| Pools \& Related | 381,411 | 28́7,858 |  |
| Utilities | 673,000 | 1,826,000 |  |
| Termite Control \& Other | 536,539 | $325,291$ |  |
| Total | \$9,543,820 | \$10,474,946 |  |
| Aggregate Capital Expenditures Initial 5 Years | \$5.8 million (61\%) | \$8.5 million (81\%) |  |

## Reduced Capital Expenditures During Initial Years Will Reduce the Need for Special and/or Regular Assessment Increases

| 2019 Reserve Study |
| :---: |
|  |
| 2022 Reserve Study |

## Board Plan



Modifying \& Phasing Critical Projects, Along with Returning Responsibility for Select Repairs to Homeowners Has Resulted in a 41\% Decline in 5 Year Project Costs*

## Project Costs and Phasing--Includes 2\% annual inflation \& 6\% Contingency.

| Projects | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2027 | 2031 | 10 Yr Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Asphall \& Concrete | 45,000 | 77,250 | 59,676 | 128,659 | 114,186 | 100,800 | 170,478 | 4,711 | 14,543 | - | 715,303 |
| Total Building - Balconies \& Landings | - | 159,687 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 159,687 |
| Total Buildings - Doors | 29,026 | 18,700 | - | - | 5,628 | 15,100 | 15,402 | 15,710 | 16,024 | 16,345 | 131,935 |
| Buildings - Pony Walls | 75,000 | 136,990 | 141,100 | 145,333 | 123,806 | 89,264 | 91,942 | 94,700 | 97,541 | 100,468 | 1,096,144 |
| Total Buildings Roofs | 125,014 | 122,828 | 126,462 | 5,306 | 29,221 | 138,646 | 5,631 | 5,743 | 5,858 | 5,975 | 570,686 |
| Total Buildings - Stucco, flashing \& wood | 85,161 | 86,864 | 116,150 | 118,472 | 82,783 | 57,964 | 59,123 | 60,306 | - | - | 666,823 |
| Total Electrical/ Lights | 15,000 | 24,058 | 15,606 | 15,918 | 16,236 | 16,561 | 16,892 | 17,230 | 17,575 | 17,926 | 173,004 |
| Total Fences/Gates/Rails/Walls | 233,512 | 140,768 | . | - | . | 28,989 | - | . | . | . | 403,269 |
| Irrigation - System Refurbish | 300,000 | - | . | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | 300,000 |
| Total Landscape | 42,175 | 155,350 | 87,463 | 84,576 | 104,515 | 93,882 | 76,075 | 77,597 | 5,202 | 5,306 | 732,140 |
| Total Paint \& Waterproofing | - | 202,499 | 232,981 | . | - | 174,589 | - | - | - | - | 610,069 |
| Total Pool Cabanas | 9,000 | 27,306 | 41,345 | 42,569 | 1,624 | 1,656 | 1,689 | 1,723 | 1,757 | 7,013 | 135,682 |
| Total Pools \& Spas | 21,700 | 32,961 | 98,878 | 25,883 | 86,326 | - | 23,522 | 10,437 | - | 33,402 | 333,109 |
| Signage | 7,653 | 515 | 1,061 | - | 1,126 | - | - | - | - | - | 10,355 |
| Total Termite Control | 11,250 | 75,708 | 112,783 | 91,442 | 140,858 | 12,421 | 12,669 | 12,923 | 13,181 | 13,445 | 496,679 |
| Total Utilities | 65,000 | 51,000 | 8,000 | 8,160 | 8,323 | 8,490 | 8,659 | 8,833 | 9,009 | 9,189 | 184,664 |
| Contingency | 40,000 | 40,800 | 41,616 | 42,448 | 43,297 | 44,163 | 45,046 | 45,947 | 46,866 | 47,804 | 437,989 |
| TOTAL RESERVE EXPENITURES | 「1,104,491 | 1,353,284 | 1,083,119 | 708,766 | 757,930 | (782,525 | 527,130 | 355,860 | 227,558 | 256,873) | 7,157,536 |

## Funding Shortfall with No Special Assessment or Regular Assessment Increases?



- Regular annual assessment revenue remains constant over 10 years
- Operating expenses increase by $2 \%$ per year due to inflation

- $\$ 3.2$ million 5 year reserve deficit
- Reserve allocation decreases annually
- \$4.0 million 10 year reserve deficit


## Reserve Study Conclusions \& Funding Objectives

## Conclusions

$>$ Special Assessment and/or Regular Assessment Increases during Years 1-5 are required to address critical deferred maintenance

■ $\$ 2.7$ million or $28 \%$ of projects have a Remaining Useful Life of one year or less
■ $\$ 5.5$ million or $58 \%$ of projects have a Remaining Useful Life of five years or less
$>$ Return Financial Responsibility for Select Repairs to Homeowners (e.g., water heaters and portions of concrete, termite, and garage doors) to reduce financial burden \& be consistent with other HOAs
Funding Objectives
> Fund and Complete Deferred Capital Projects Over 10 years and Replenish Reserves
$>$ While funding all critical projects during years 1-5, delay less urgent repairs until years 5-10.
$>$ Mitigate amount of a Special Assessment and spread it over several years
$>$ Target Regular Assessment Increases to annual inflation
$>$ Target Reserve level of "30\% Funded" (\$1.0-1.5 million) by Year 10 to ensure sustainable financial stability.

## Special Assessment Program and Next Steps

## What Funding Options Were Considered?

 optional payment plan), nominal annual Regular Assessment increases, \& no Financing. Payment, plan spreads payments over six years, would result in a property lien and interest at $4.5 \%$.

Option \#2: Financing-- Borrow $\$ 2.5$ million over 10 years to avoid a Special Assessment. Increase Regular Assessments during years 1-4 to accommodate debt payments.
Option \#3: Combination-- $\$ 2.0$ million Loan and an $\$ 800,000$ Special Assessment. Increase Regular Assessments during years 1-4 to accommodate debt payments Option \#4: "Do Nothing" Scenario-- Assumes future Boards would need to increase Regular Assessments by the 20\% annual maximum in early years and impose a series of 5\% Special Assessments to fund a portion of the deferred maintenance repairs. Board could imposel additional Special Assessments for health \& safety repairs, as needed

Special Assessment Program--\$2.95 million Special Assessment (with payment plan), Nominal Annual Regular Assessment Increases, \& No Financing.

|  |  | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Assessment |  | 1,188,000 | 1,247,400 | 1,284,822 | 1,323,367 | 1,363,068 | 1,403,960 | 1,446,078 | 1,489,461 | 1,534,145 | 1,580,169 | 13,860,469 |
| Assessment Increase - input |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \% \\ (805,700) \end{array}$ | $\overline{(821,814)}$ | - 3\% | -3\% - $3 \%$ |  | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |  |
| Operating Expenses - 2\% annual increase | 2\% |  |  | $(838,250)$ | $(855,015)$ | $(872,116)$ | $(889,558)$ | $(907,349)$ | $(925,496)$ | $(944,006)$ | $(962,886)$ | $(8,822,190)$ |
| Reserve Allocation |  | $(382,300)$ | $(425,586)$ | $(446,572)$ | $(468,351)$ | $(490,952)$ | $(514,402)$ | $(538,729)$ | $(563,965)$ | $(590,139)$ | $(617,283)$ | $(5,038,279)$ |
| Reserve Percentage Increase |  |  | 11\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |  | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Reserve Balance |  | 382,300 | 177,809 | 146,861 | 271,064 | 309,899 | 260,921 | 201,798 | 213,397 | 421,502 | 784,083 |  |
| Reserve Allocation |  |  | 425,586 | 446,572 | 468,351 | 490,952 | 514,402 | 538,729 | 563,965 | 590,139 | 617,283 | 5,038,279 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Project Expenditures |  | 1,104,491 | 1,353,284 | 1,083,119 | 708,766 | 757,930 | 782,525 | 527,130 | 355,860 | 227,558 | 256,873 | 7,157,536 |
| Ending Balance w/o Additional Funding |  | $(622,191)$ | $(749,889)$ | $(489,686)$ | 30,649 | 42,921 | $(7,202)$ | 213,397 | 421,502 | 784,083 | 1,144,492 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sim-$ |
| Special Assessment |  | 800,000 | 800,000 | 700,000 | 250,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | - | - | - |  | 2,950,000 |
| Interest Income (4.5\% on O/S balance) |  |  | 96,750 | 60,750 | 29,250 | 18,000 | 9,000 |  |  |  | 1 | 213,750 |
| Net Funding |  | 800,000 | 896,750 | 760,750 | 279,250 | 218,000 | 209,000 | - | - | - | - 1 | 3,163,750 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - - | - - |
| Ending Reserve |  | 177,809 | 146,861 | 271,064 | 309,899 | 260,921 | 201,798 | 213,397 | 421,502 | 784,083 | 1,144,492 | $)$ |


|  | Overall Cost <br> Increase: 10 Year <br> Average Total <br> Assessments/Unit | Cost Spread: <br> Total Annual Avg. <br> Assessments/Unit- <br> Over 6 Years | Cost Spread: <br> Total Annual Avg. <br> Assessments/Unit <br> -Over 10 Years | Saleability: <br> Year 6 Average <br> Monthly Regular <br> Assessment | Financial Stability: <br> Year 10 Reserve <br> Balance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Cost | $\$ 59,700$ | $\$ 5,970$ | $\$ 5,970$ | $\$ 498 / \mathrm{mo}$ | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Option \#1 | $\$ 24,775$ | $\$ 9,012$ | $\$ 8,447$ | $\$ 571 / \mathrm{mo}$ | $\$ 1.14 \mathrm{million}$ |
| \% Increase | $42 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $15 \%$ | N/A |

"Do Nothing" Scenario-- Assumes Future Boards Would Need to Increase Regular Assessments by the 20\% Annual Maximum and Impose Sporadic 5\% Special Assessments to Address Repairs, as needed


## How Does the Special Assessment Program Compare to the "Do Nothing" Scenario?

|  | Overall Cost Increase: 10 Year <br> Average Total Assessments/Unit | Cost Spread: Total Annual Avg. Assessments/UnitO ver 6 Years | Cost Spread: Total Annual Avg. Assessments/Unit Over 10 Years | Saleability: 2027 Average Monthly Regular Assessment | Financial Stability: Year 10 Reserve Balance | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special Assessment Program | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I } \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | \$9,012 | \$8,447 | \$571/mo. | \$1.14 million | - Least expensive plan <br> - Lowest Regular Assessment in 2027 <br> - Solid/balanced reserves |
| "Do <br> Nothing" <br> Scenario | $\$ 48,275$ | \$9,743 | \$10,797 |  | \$5.72 <br> million | - Most expensive plan <br> - Insufficient to fund projects <br> - Regular Assessment more than doubles by 2027 <br> - High uncertainty for residents |

Note: the "Do Nothing" Scenario does not reflect the likelihood future Boards would need to impose additional special assessments to fund emergency repairs

## Special Assessment Program Detail

Special Assessment Program--\$2.95 million Special Assessment (with optional payment plan), nominal annual Regular Assessment increases, \& no Financing. Payment plan would result in a lien on the property and interest at 4.5\%.

Two Payment Options (per unit averages)
$>$ One Payment of \$14,825
$>$ Six Year Payment Plan--Yr 1: \$4,020, Yr 2: \$4,506, Yr 3: \$3,823, Yr 4: \$1,403, Yr 5: \$1,095, Yr 6: \$1,050
■ Payment Plan includes interest at $4.5 \%$ and is pre-payable at anytime without penalty

- Outstanding balance will be secured by property lien

Actual payment amounts will depend on Homeowners plan type (see following page for detail)

## Rationale

$>$ Least Expensive plan to Owners, Overall
$>$ Results in the Lowest Increase in Regular Assessments
$>$ Two Payment Options Provide Maximum flexibility to Residents
$>$ Avoids High Cost of Debt and Significant Increase in Regular Assessments

## Special Assessment Program Payment Options by Plan

| PLANS | One Time $2,950,000$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } 1 \\ & 800,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } 2 \\ & 800,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } 3 \\ & 700,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } 4 \\ & 250,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } 5 \\ & 200,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } 6 \\ & 200,000 \end{aligned}$ | Total w/ Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.5\% |
| PLAN 1 | 13,834.01 | $\begin{gathered} 3,751.60 \\ - \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,751.60 \\ 453.71 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,282.65 \\ 284.89 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,172.37 \\ 137.17 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 937.90 \\ 84.41 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 937.90 \\ 42.21 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,834.01 \\ 1,002.38 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1270 sf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Est Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Payment |  | 3,751.60 | 4,205.31 | 3,567.53 | 1,309.54 | 1,022.31 | 980.10 | 14,836.39 |
| PLAN 2 | 14,792.59 | $4,011.55$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,011.55 \\ 485.15 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,510.11 \\ 304.63 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,253.61 \\ 146.67 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,002.89 \\ 90.26 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,002.89 \\ 45.13 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,792.59 \\ 1,071.84 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1358 sf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Est Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Payment |  | 4,011.55 | 4,496.70 | 3,814.73 | 1,400.28 | 1,093.15 | 1,048.02 | 15,864.43 |
| PLAN 3 | 17,101.89 | 4,637.80 | $4,637.80$560.88 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,058.08 \\ 352.18 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $1,449.31$169.57 | $1,159.45$10435 | 1,159.45 | 17,101.89 |
| 1570 sf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Est Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52.18 | 1,239.16 |
| Total Payment |  | 4,637.80 | 5,198.69 | 4,410.26 | 1,618.88 | 1,263.80 | 1,211.63 | 18,341.05 |
| PLAN 4 | 17,973.33 | 4,874.12 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,874.12 \\ 589.46 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,264.86 \\ 370.13 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,523.16 \\ 178.21 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,218.53 \\ 109.67 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,218.53 \\ 54.83 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17,973.33 \\ 1,302.30 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1650 sf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Est Interest |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Payment |  | 4,874.12 | 5,463.59 | 4,634.99 | 1,701.37 | 1,328.20 | 1,273.36 | 19,275.63 |
| PLAN 5 | 9,716.49 | 2,634.98 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,634.98 \\ 318.67 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,305.61 \\ 200.09 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 823.43 \\ 96.34 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 658.74 \\ 59.29 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 658.74 \\ 29.64 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 9,716.49 \\ 704.03 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 892 sf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Est Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Payment |  | 2,634.98 | 2,953.65 | 2,505.70 | 919.77 | 718.03 | 688.39 | 10,420.52 |
| PLAN 6 | 12,014.90 | $3,258.28$- | $\begin{array}{r} 3,258.28 \\ 394.05 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,850.99 \\ 247.43 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,018.21 \\ 119.13 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 814.57 \\ 73.31 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 814.57 \\ 36.66 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12,014.90 \\ 870.57 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 1103 sf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Est Interest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Payment |  | 3,258.28 | 3,652.32 | 3,098.42 | 1,137.34 | 887.88 | 851.22 | 12,885.47 |

## Summary \& Next Steps

## Summary

* Addressing deferred projects will require 5 years and returning EB4 to financial stability will take 10 years
* Project Costing/Planning has reduced 5-Year Expenditures by more than 41\% to \$5.0 million
- Voting Options:
> Special Assessment Program: Lowest cost, lowest projected Regular Assessment increases, payment plan option, and reduced uncertainty
$>$ "No Vote" Scenario: Highest cost, highest projected Regular Assessment increases, significant uncertainty of future Special Assessments for health and safety repairs, annual disclosure issues


## Next Steps

* Owners Vote on Special Assessment Program recommendation
* If Funding Program is Approved by 51\% of Residents:
$>$ Poll Owners to Determine the Break-Down of Residents Paying Upfront vs. Opting for the Payment Plan
$>$ Based on the Number of Upfront Payors, Adjust Project Phasing to Accelerate Schedule and Reduce Costs
- Commence Project Plan Implementation
- Periodically, Board will Review and Adjust Project Plan
> Projects will be re-bid to update project cost estimates before each project is initiated
$>$ Regular updates will continue to be provided to Owner


## Long Term Goals For Our Community



Sound Infrastructure


Stable Financial Position


Predictable Assessments


Improved Property Values


Better Disclosure for Owners/Buyers


Attractive Community Aesthetic

Appendix

## Civil Code: Special Assessment Restrictions



Flexibility in Use of Funds. Even though boards cannot divert special assessment monies from one project to other projects, boards have discretion to use the monies for related issues. For example, a special assessment approved for replacement of drain lines can be expanded by the board to include remediation of mold discovered in the walls once the replacement program has been started. Although mold was not on the ballot, it is sufficiently related to the replacement of pipes to fall within the board's discretion when repairs are made.

Where a duly constituted community association board, upon reasonable investigation, in good faith and with regard for the best interests of the community association and its members, exercises discretion within the scope of its authority under relevant statutes, covenants and restrictions to select among means for discharging an obligation to maintain and repair a development's common areas, courts should defer to the board's authority and presumed expertise. Thus, we adopt today for California courts a rule of judicial deference to community association board decisionmaking that applies, regardless of an association's corporate status, when owners in common interest developments seek to litigate ordinary maintenance decisions entrusted to the discretion of their associations' boards of directors. (Lamden v. La Jolla Shores, (1999) 21 Cal.4th 249.)

No Time Limit. Unless imposed by the assessment itself or by the governing documents, there is no time limit on the expenditure of special assessment funds. Even so, any delays in using the monies for their intended purpose must be reasonable.

## Reserve Study Comparisons: Key Summary Stats 2022 vs. 2019

|  | $2022$ <br> Reserve Study | $\begin{aligned} & 2022 \text { Per } \\ & \text { Unit } \end{aligned}$ | 2019 Reserve Study | 2019 Per Unit | More accurate project specs and bids resulted in a net reduction in estimated replacement cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aggregate Estimated Current Replacement Cost | \$9.5 million | \$47,959 | \$10.5 million | \$52,638 | Spreading projects over a longer |
| Aggregate Estimated Future Replacement Cost | \$14.5 million | \$72,821 | \$15.0 million | \$75,524 | period results in higher inflationadjusted costs over 30 years |
| Aggregate Capital Expenditures Initial 5 Years | \$5.8 million | \$29,353 | \$8.5 million | \$42,802 | Size of estimated project/costs reduced and timing has been spread |
| SCT: Annual Reserve Allocation Increase \% Assumption | 4.34\% |  | 4.95\% |  |  |
| SCT: Special Assessment Assumption--over 5 yrs for 2019 study \& 4 yrs for 2022 study | \$5.0 million | \$25,126 | \$8.7 million | \$43,500 | capital expenditures during years 1-5. SCT Assumption-Not Final |
| SCT: Inflation Rate Assumption | 3.0\% | n/a | 3.0\% | n/a | Inflation assumption higher than current economic forecasts |
| 100\% Funded | 30 Years | n/a | 30 Years | n/a | Many HOAs target a 35-40\% funded rate at all times |

## Potential Initiatives to Reduce HOA Capital Expenditures, Special Assessments, and Annual Regular Assessment Increases

| Project | Examples | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * Continue to evaluate opportunities to reduce operating expense to increase the portion of regular assessments that funds the Reserve and capital projects | - Earthquake Insurance <br> - Water Usage \& Cost <br> - Legal Expense <br> - Water Intrusion \& Remediation | - Finance Committee will continue to review operating expenses to identify opportunities to reduce <br> - Operating expense reduction would allow a larger allocation of Regular Dues to Reserves |
| Shift financial responsibility for select projects back to homeowners | - Water Heaters <br> - Concrete Entry Pads <br> - Atrium Drains <br> - Garage Doors \& Components <br> - Water Meters | - Many HOAs do not bear the financial responsibility for listed examples <br> - Bylaws would have to be changed and changes must be approved by residents' vote. |
| Reduce, Phase and/or Delay less critical projects or repairs | - Landscape \& Tree Removal <br> - Asphalt \& Concrete <br> - Fences |  |
| * Finance all or a portion of necessary projects over 5-10 years to spread out the cost. | - Line of credit for a portion of project cost during yrs. 1-5 <br> - Repayment term 5-10 yrs. <br> - Upfront fee, plus annual interest | Finance Committee is investigating loans \& lines of credit, which may be used to reduce/defer need for a large Special Assessment |

Option \#2: Financing Option-- No Special Assessment, Maximum Loan of $\$ 2.5$ million, with a 12 Month Draw Period. High Regular Assessment Increases During Years 1-4 to Accommodate Debt Payments.

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2022 \\ & 1,188,000 \end{aligned}$ | 2023 | 2024 |  | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 |  | $\frac{2028}{1,979,624}$ | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Assessment |  |  | 1,425,600 | 1,639,440 |  | 1,885,356 | 1,979,624 | 1,979 | 9,624 |  | 1,979,624 | 1,979,624 | 1,979,624 | 18,016,139 |
| Assessment Increase input |  | 0\% | 20\% |  |  | 15\% | 5\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| Operating Expenses - 2\% annual increase |  | $(805,700)$ | $(821,814)$ | (838, | 50) | $(855,015)$ | $(872,116)$ | (889 | ,558) | $(907,349)$ | $(925,496)$ | $(944,006)$ | $(962,886)$ | $(8,822,190)$ |
| Reserve Allocation |  | $(382,300)$ | $(603,786)$ | (801, | 90) | (1,030,341) | $(1,107,508)$ | (1,090 | ,066) | $(1,072,275)$ | $(1,054,128)$ | $(1,035,618)$ | $(1,016,738)$ | $(9,193,949)$ |
| Reserve Percentage Increase |  |  | 58\% |  | 33\% | 29\% | 7\% |  | -2\% | -2\% | -2\% | -2\% | -2\% |  |
| Beginning Reserve Balance |  | 100,000 | 576,247 | 724,0 |  | 96,069 | 82,800 |  | ,784 | 103,982 | 348,032 | 756,456 | 787,876 |  |
| Reserve Allocation |  | 382,300 | 603,786 | 801, |  | 1,030,341 | 1,107,508 | 1,090 | ,066 | 1,072,275 | 1,054,128 | 1,035,618 | 1,016,738 | 9,193,949 |
| Total Project Expenditures |  | 1,104,491 | 1,353,284 | 1,083, |  | 708,766 | 757,930 |  | 2,525 | 527,130 | 355,860 | 227,558 | 256,873 | 7,157,536 |
| Ending Balance w/o Additional Funding |  | $(622,191)$ | $(173,251)$ | 442, |  | 417,644 | 432,378 |  | ,325 | 649,126 | 1,046,300 | 1,564,516 | 1,547,740 |  |
| Special Assessment input |  | $1,250,000.00$ | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | $\sim$ |
| Financing - Update Amortization 2 |  |  | 1,250,000.00 |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,500,000 |
| Debt Payments |  |  | $(352,656.25)$ | $(346,093$ | 75) | $(334,843.75)$ | $(323,593.75)$ | (312,3 | 43.75) | $(301,093.75)$ | $(289,843.75)$ | $(776,640.63)$ |  | $(3,088,672)$ |
| Closing Costs |  | $(57,500.00)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Funding |  | 1,198,438 | 897,344 | $(346,094)$ |  | $(334,844)$ | $(323,594)$ | (312 | 2,344) | $(301,094)$ | $(289,844)$ | $(776,641)$ | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | - | - $=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square-$ |  |
| Ending Reserve |  | 576,247 | 724,092 | 96,069 |  | 82,800 | 108,784 103,982 |  |  | 348,032 | 756,456 | 787,876 | $1,547,740$ |  |
|  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $2-2$ |  |
|  |  |  | Overall Increase: <br> Average Assessme | Cost <br> 10 Year Total ns/Unit |  | ost Spread: al Annual Avg. essments/UnitOver 6 Years | Cost Spre Total Annua Assessment -Over 10 Y | ead: <br> Avg. <br> s/Unit <br> Years | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{Sa} \\ 202 \\ \text { Mont } \\ \text { As } \end{array}$ | aleability: 27 Average thly Regular sessment | Financial St Year 10 Re Balanc | ability: serve e | In | des <br> nterest |
|  | Current Cost |  | \$59,700 |  |  | \$5,970 | \$5,970 |  |  | 498/mo | \$100,00 |  |  |  |
|  | Option \#2 |  | \$30,833 |  |  | \$8,457 | \$9,053 |  | -\$8 | $29 / \mathrm{mo}$ | \$1.55 mill | lion |  | $172$ |
|  | \% Increase |  | 52\% |  |  | 42\% | 52\% |  |  | 67\% | N/A |  |  | 2 |

Option \#3: Combination-- $\$ 2.0$ million Loan, $\$ 800,000$ Special Assessment \& Modestly High Regular Assessment Increases in Years 1-4 to Accommodate Debt Payments


## How Did the Four Options Compare?

|  | Overall Cost Increase: 10 Year Average Total Assessments/Unit | Cost Spread: Total Annual Avg. Assessments/Unit Over 6 Years | Cost Spread: Total Annual Avg. Assessments/Unit Over 10 Years | Saleability: 2027 Average Monthly Regular Assessment | Financial Stability: Year 10 Reserve Balance | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Special <br> Assessment <br> Program | \$24,775 | \$9,012 | \$8,447 | \$571/mo. | \$1.14 <br> million | - Least expensive plan <br> - Lowest Regular Assessment in 2027 <br> - Solid/balanced reserves |
| Option \#2: Financing | \$30,833 | \$8,457 | \$9,053 | \$829/mo. | \$1.55 million | - More Expensive plan <br> - Regular Assessment increases in $67 \%$ by 2027 <br> - Total Financing cost of $\$ 646,172$ |
| Option \#3: <br> Special <br> Assessment/ <br> Financing <br> Combination | \$28,839 | \$8,347 | \$8,854 | \$801/mo. | \$988,374 | - Low cost during initial 6 yrs <br> - Regular Assessment increases by 61\% by 2027 <br> - Low Reserves in 2026-27 |
| Option \#4: <br> "Do Nothing" | \$48,275 | \$9,743 | \$10,797 | \$1,032/mo. | $\$ 5.72$ million | - Most expensive plan <br> - Insufficient to fund projects <br> - Regular Assessment more than doubles by 2027 <br> - High uncertainty for residents |

## Special Assessment Program Goals, Objectives and Rationale



